

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI FI	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/516,332		11/30/2004	Haim Hazan	LA-7632-101US	3041	
167	7590	03/08/2006		EXAMINER		
		JAWORSKI LLP	AMERSON, LORI BAKER			
LOS ANGE		EET, 41ST FLOOR 90071	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
				3764	3764	
				DATE MAILED: 03/08/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Symmony	10/516,332	HAZAN, HAIM					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	L Amerson	3764					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11/3	<u>80/05</u> .						
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> 2b) ⊠ This	s action is non-final.						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 3-9 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.	<ul> <li>Claim(s) 3-9 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>□ Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>□ Claim(s) 3-9 is/are rejected.</li> </ul>						
Application Papers							
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 30 November 2004 is/of Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.	are: a) $\square$ accepted or b) $\square$ objector drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See stion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da						
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	(	atent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/516,332 Page 2

Art Unit: 3764

## Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 3-9 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- a. Claims 8-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Barnes et al. Barnes et al discloses all of the limitations as claimed in the instant invention. Regarding the language, "so that when....user" has not been given patentable weight because the recitations are purely functional in nature and do not recite any structure
- b. Claims 3, 8-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ruden. Ruden discloses all of the limitations as claimed in the instant invention.
- c. Claims 8-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Mullen. Mullen discloses a device having a base 2, two elevated spaced-apart handles 8,10 supported by a structure above said base, and a spring-biased pad 39 supported by said structure and being positioned between said handles to be contacted by the abdomen of an exercising user, said pad being spring-loaded upwards and moving in an angular forward-downward direction when pressed upon by the abdomen of an exercising user. The pad is pivotally supported (fig.

Application/Control Number: 10/516,332 Page 3

Art Unit: 3764

11). The structure has height adjusting means (fig. 9, 11). Regarding the language, "so that when....user" has not been given patentable weight because the recitations are purely functional in nature and do not recite any structure.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
  - d. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ruden as applied to claim 3 above and further in view of Alviso. Ruden discloses all of the limitations except for projections on a pad. Alviso teaches projections on a pad. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made modify Ruden in view of the teaching of Alviso such that projections are located on a pad for massaging a user.
  - e. Claims 5-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mullen as applied to claim 9 above and further in view of Anderson et al. Mullen teaches a plurality of springs supported by the device but does not teach a leaf spring. Anderson et al teaches a leaf spring. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to substitute a leaf spring for a tension spring such that a spring is capable of performing the function of providing resistance. The Applicant should note that

Application/Control Number: 10/516,332 Page 4

Art Unit: 3764

duplicating the components of a prior art device is a design consideration within the skill of the art. In re Harza, 274 F.2d 669, 124 USPQ 378 (CCPA 1960).

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to L Amerson whose telephone number is (571) 272-4971. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri from 9-6 p.m. Interviews Tue. and Thur..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory Huson can be reached on 571-272-4887. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Lori Amerson